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Agenda for today
- Facts (Demography, Literacy, Migrations, economic growth…)
- The OECD Thematic review of adult learning
- The role of national qualifications system in promoting Lifelong learning
- Recognition of non formal and informal learning
Key Competences

Why bother?

Aging is a reality

Share of the 25-64 among the 0-65: Australia

Share of the 25-64 among the 0-65: Finland

Ageing is indeed a reality

There are less and less young people!!!

How about participation in the labour market?

Male population
Labour market participation rate by age: UK, Male

Labour market participation rate by age: US, Male

Labour market participation rate by age: Greece, Male

How about participation in the labour market?

Female population
Labour market participation rate by age: UK, Female

Labour market participation rate by age: US, Female

Labour market participation rate by age: Greece, Female

More facts

The labour force is shrinking

Adult literacy levels are somewhat worrying

Migration is an issue
The size of the labour force will grow little or even fall in some G7 countries.

Impact of ageing and migration on education

- Less skills coming from young people because less young people
- Need for skilling, reskilling and upskilling of the adult population
- Need to give basic competencies (literacy) to new comers
- Evidence that increasing average level impact more on economic growth than focussing on high level individuals
- Need to make all skills visible: qualifications system as a policy tool

More Facts and Some Questions

Economic Growth

GDP [growth] and human capital?
Real GDP Growth
Average annual growth in percentage, 1991-2004

Gross/Net National Income per Capita
US dollars, current prices and PPPs, 2003

Main Driving Forces of GDP per Capita Growth
Average annual percentage change (1990-2004)

Enhancements in Human Capital (level of education) contribute to labour productivity growth
Average annual percentage change (1990-2000)
And human capital partly comes from education!

And also from technological progress.

**Gross domestic expenditure on R&D**
As a percentage of GDP, 2004 or latest available year

**Number of researchers**
Per thousand employed, full-time equivalent, 2004 or latest available year
But using technological progress requires up-to-date competences (education enhances the capacity to use innovation)

Key Findings: A Summary

- One additional year of education => a raise of GDP growth by 0.3% per year (Barro)
- Raising the education attainment of the labour force by one year can raise GDP per capita by 3-6%, in the long run (OECD)
- Countries which have massively invested in education (Korea, Ireland) have reached an unprecedented economic success.
- OECD Growth Study investigated changes in output per capita growth rates in the 1980s and 1990s and showed that:

Contribution to Growth (OECD)

Participation in Adult Learning: Evidence

- Scandinavian countries on top
- United Kingdom, Switzerland, the Netherlands, Germany not far
- Adult literacy levels clearly much higher in Scandinavian countries
Participation in Adult Learning: More Evidence

- Upper secondary level is clearly a threshold
- At the individual level, adult learning happens only for people that have initially reach upper secondary level ([First] Matthew effect)
- Less clear at macro level but still, there is convincing evidence:

Educational Attainment: Upper Secondary Education

- Attainment in University Education
  Growth in university-level qualifications, approximated by the % of persons with ISCED 5A/6 qualification, by year of birth (in 2004)

But this level is attained when people are young (enrolment in education institution by age)
1. Why Adult Learning?

- Economic Growth
- Reserve labour force
- Demographic changes
- Industrial restructuring
- Technological progress
- Skills shortages (literacy only an indicator)
- Low skill unemployment
- The Matthew effect(s)

Citizenship and democracy
Key Competences: Definitions

- As many definitions as authors
- As many as international working groups
- As many as countries
- (many names too: core, key, soft/hard…)
- Some significant attempts:
  - DeSeCo:
    - Interact in heterogeneous groups
    - Act autonomously
    - Use tools interactively
  - IALS (Literacy Surveys)

3a. Main findings (Beyond Rhetoric, 2003)

Six Key Ideas

1. Holistic Approach
2. Financing
3. Attractiveness
4. Accessibility
5. Efficiency
6. Quality

1. Holistic Approach

- Co-ordination
- Involving all actors
- Top-down...
- … and bottom-up approaches
- Qualifications
- Partnerships!!!!!!
2. Financing
- Shared
- Equity
- Joint decision (individual, employer, sponsor…)
- Incentives:
  . Tax
  . Grant/loans...

3. Attractiveness
- Useful (Needs of adults…)
- On going assessment for improvement
- Recognition of prior learning
- Validation of current learning...
- … or not (stepping stone)
- Pace (Modules…)
- Learner centred

4. Accessibility
- Location and building
- Scheduling
- Transport service
- Affordable
- New ICT
- Information and guidance

5. Efficiency
- National / Local
- Rational funding
- Monitoring
- Signals from LM
- Recognition of the demand
- Partnerships
6. Quality
- Evaluation (on going and ex-post)
- Statistics
- Accreditation
- Standards
- Quality control

A Desirable System
- Holistic Approach
- Financing
- Attractiveness
- Accessibility
- Efficiency
- Quality

3b. Main findings
(Promoting Adult Learning, 2005)
1. Revisit the numbers (identify main barriers)
2. Increasing and promoting the benefits of adult learning
3. Financing
4. Improving delivery and quality control
5. Policy co-ordination and coherence for effective implementation

Promoting Adult Learning (2005)
1. Revisit the numbers (identify main barriers)

2. Increasing and promoting the benefits of adult learning
3. Financing
4. Improving delivery and quality control
5. Policy co-ordination and coherence for effective implementation


Participation Rate in Adult Learning


Intensive or Extensive Approach to AL

Useful Content and Clear Benefits

- Useful content
- Clear and immediate returns
- Ex:
  - Language programme for immigrants
  - Family literacy programmes
  - …
Clear Currency
- Growing awareness of national qualifications systems as a policy tools
- Qualification framework (Ireland, EQF) or other devices such as the Europass
- Double currency (adult learning system as well as labour market)
- Recognition of non formal and informal learning: a way forward (new OECD activity)

Information and Guidance
- Adults might be unaware of potential benefits unless they are told
- When willing to undertake learning activities, lack of appropriate guidance might be a strong deterrent
- Ex:
  - Ambassador programmes
  - Learndirect (ICT)
  - Word of mouth

Agenda for today
- Facts (Demography, Literacy, Migrations, economic growth…)
- The OECD Thematic review of adult learning
- The role of national qualifications system in promoting Lifelong learning
- Recognition of non-formal and informal learning

Synopsis.
- NQS
- LLL
- Good supply
- Excellent supply

This link is identified as mechanisms
The approach.

National social and economic systems

Structural changes

Changes in conditions

NQS

Structural changes and changes in conditions

LIFELONG LEARNING

Assumption

There are mechanisms

However

There are few conclusions we can draw from quantitative evidence

Fortunately

There is substantial qualitative evidence
The 20 Mechanisms

1) Communicating returns to learning for qualification
2) Recognising skills for employability
3) Establishing qualifications frameworks
4) Increasing learner choice in qualifications
5) Clarifying learning pathways
6) Providing credit transfer
7) Increasing flexibility in learning programmes leading to qualifications
8) Creating new routes to qualifications
9) Lowering cost of qualification
10) Recognising non-formal and informal learning

11) Monitoring the qualifications system
12) Optimising stakeholder involvement in the qualifications system
13) Improving needs analysis methods so that qualifications are up to date
14) Improving qualification use in recruitment
15) Ensuring qualifications are portable
16) Investing in pedagogical innovation
17) Expressing qualifications as learning outcomes
18) Improving co-ordination in the qualifications system
19) Optimising quality assurance
20) Improving information and guidance about qualifications systems

Agenda for today

- Facts (Demography, Literacy, Migrations, economic growth…)
- The OECD Thematic review of adult learning
- The role of national qualifications system in promoting Lifelong learning
- Recognition of non formal and informal learning

Background

- Lots of evidence about Recognition of Non Formal and Informal Learning (RNFIL), but piecemeal
- OECD activity on Adult Learning
- OECD activity on the role of national qualifications systems in promoting lifelong learning
- …
Qualifications Systems

- RNFIL = mechanism to create more and better lifelong learning
- as well as:
  - credit transfer
  - qualifications framework
  - stakeholders involvement
  - ...
- Qualifications Systems: Bridges to Lifelong Learning (OECD, 2006)

Just Published 😊😊😊

Literacy Level and Educational Attainment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Among Adults</th>
<th>Low Literacy</th>
<th>High Literacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low educational attainment</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High educational attainment</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The OECD Activity

- RNFIL is high on most countries’ agenda

=> “New” OECD activity on RNFIL:
  - 27 countries (21 BRs, 18 reviews)
  - One assumption: not always good (cost)
  - Research
  - Visits – fact finding approach
  - Data collection – if any
Definitions

- **Intentional learning:**
  - **Formal** (organised, learning objectives, most of the time leads to a qualification*)
  - **Non formal** (does NOT usually lead to a qualification*, along side formal learning activities, semi structured)

- **Non intentional learning:**
  - **Informal** (what we all do all the time without even knowing it, experiential)
  - **RPL (Australia), PLAR (Canada), VAE (France), APL, APEL**...
  - In Australia, they even made RPL a verb: “I will RPL you”, “not everybody can be RPLed”...


More Definitions 😊

- **Recognition, Validation, Certification**
  - Recognition
  - => Validation
  - => Certification
  - => Recognition*

- I would keep “accreditation” for institutions


Definitions: Some Pieces of Advice

- Don’t panic
- Don’t waste too much time on them
- Choose the ones that meets your needs for the following 2-3 years and stop re-addressing the issue all the time
- If/whenever possible, use international definitions (OECD, 2007 – CEDEFOP/OECD, 2008)


Participating countries

23 countries on 5 continents
(16 in Europe, 23 CBRs, 18 reviewed):

- **Australia**, Austria, Belgium-Flanders, Canada, Chile, Czech Republic, Denmark, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Korea, Malta, **Mexico**, the **Netherlands**, Norway, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Switzerland and the United Kingdom

Why do we want to organise recognition programmes?

- No more lifetime jobs
  (Japan???)

- Demography
  (Ageing, labour force shortages, work after retirement age, labour market, education system, tertiary education…)

- Skills mismatch
  (make skills visible for governments to organise learning provision; for individuals to perform well in the labour market and/or to (re)enter LLL system at the most optimal level)


Why do we want to organise recognition programmes?

- Inequities
  (women in labour market, gender issues in the VET initial system, immigrants: unqualified to make their skills visible as well as qualified to organise equivalencies)

- Immigrants
  do fill some skills gaps – UK – but there may be issues in terms of safety, security or health (Nurses)


Why do we want to organise recognition programmes?

- Migration and mobility in general
  (workers, students)

- Second chance
  (identify skills of poorly qualified people to certify them) – safety net

Second chance for NEET people, for instance


Why do we want to organise recognition programmes?

- Impact/role of other devices/programmes
  (such as qualifications frameworks (EQF typically) set in motion – based on learning outcomes, also the essence of RNFIL)

- May not create economic growth, but will help sustain it!!!

- RNFIL does NOT create skills, it makes them visible if there are some!!!

Why it doesn’t necessarily work?

Employers*

- **Employers** may see wider skills supply if more learning is recognised in the workforce
- May need workforce with qualifications (ISO, existing regulations and regulated labour market…)

- But:
  - Is it less costly (time and money)?
  - This might lead to a reduction in commitment to formal training programmes
  - Ownership of the standards will may remain an issue for a long time; going beyond the standards even more of an issue

Trade Unions

- Sceptical – at best 😊
- Shift of focus from learning to assessing

Providers of learning

- Worried!!! OF COURSE (US higher education institutions and universities)
- Nevertheless, **providers** may be encouraged to widen access to programmes if quality assured recognition systems are in place
- Documenting skills may save some subsystems (Folk high schools in Norway???)
- Although there may be increased direct and indirect costs involved in recognising non-formal and informal learning
- May create additional qualifications (Mexico)
- Ownership of the standards
Providers of qualifications

- OK!!!! OF COURSE

- But same worries about cost…

Individuals

- System not transparent
- Access not easy
- Information and guidance still lacking
- Doesn’t happen for low qualified people – second Matthew effect

=> Individuals are not fully convinced yet

Governments

- ???
- ???
  (Hungary…)

- We have to interest not only Ministry of Education, but also Ministries of Finance, Labour…
  It must have to do with employment and unemployment*

Some evidence and concluding remarks
15 Country Background Reports and 4 Review Visits Done: First Evidence (1/3)

- Mexico, Norway, the Netherlands, Australia, Flemish Belgium, Hungary, Slovenia
- Labour market experience vs. Life experience
  - France (VAP in 1992→VAE in 2002), Iceland (nothing about working life, Slovenia: all about working life)
- Importance of the economic context
  - Virtually no unemployment Norway, Iceland
  - Sustainability?? Usefulness??
- Qualifications framework: does it help?
  - Learning outcomes, EQF // Australia, Ireland, UK, South Africa...
- Confusion between teachers and assessors, training?
  - Australia-Australia, Norway a bit better


15 Country Background Reports and 4 Review Visits Done: First Evidence (2/3)

- Involvement of stakeholders: Key element
  - Norway // - if not: law (Flemish Belgium, Slovenia...)
- Creation of intermediary qualification: Way out
  - Partial qualification
  - But also full qualification at intermediate level (Mexico)
- Practical recognition vs. Formal recognition
  - Informal recognition © // - UK, Australia
- Information and guidance
  - vs. Complexity // - All countries


15 Country Background Reports and 4 Review Visits Done: First Evidence (3/3)

- Need for a clear rationale and a [national] rhetoric
  - Is it always good to codify all kind of learning?
  - Why do countries want to do it???
  - Norway, Australia, Slovenia
- Existence of, or need for, a legal framework
  - A lot of countries have one
- Standards for validating
  - Education vs. Labour market
  - Ownships of the standards
  - Occupational standards to be up-to-date so that qualifications based on occupational standards do have value for employers


merci

www.oecd.org/edu/lifelonglearning/nqs
www.oecd.org/edu/recognition

http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/10/2/38500491.pdf
http://www.oecd.org/document/53/0,2340,en_2649_33723_38465013_1_1_1_1,00.html

patrick.werquin@oecd.org